

ECFS - Email Filing  
<PROCEEDING>96-45  
<DATE>02/08/2005  
<NAME>Harold Wiest  
<ADDRESS1>8838 Ridge Road  
<ADDRESS2>P.O. Box 301  
<CITY>Newcastle  
<STATE>CA  
<ZIP>95658  
<LAW-FIRM>  
<ATTORNEY>  
<FILE-NUMBER>  
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC  
<PHONE-NUMBER>916-663-4583  
<DESCRIPTION>  
<CONTACT-EMAIL>hew@jps.net  
<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge  
you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how  
contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am  
concerned that this proposal could make my current service  
unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make  
few long distance calls would pay the same as people or  
businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and  
primarily residential customers would bear the same universal  
service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business  
customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience and  
do not use it for incoming calls. I don't want to lose these  
benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair  
share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF  
collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Harold Wiest  
8838 Ridge Road  
P.O. Box 301  
Newcastle, California 95658-0301